

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,511	01/04/2002	Victor P. Laskorski	930025-2002	9064	
20999 7	7590 09/24/2003				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER		
	745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		THOMAS, AL	EXANDER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1772	1/	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/039,511	LASKORSKI, VICTOR P.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander S. Thomas	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if					
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5-10 and 12-14</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-10 and 12-14</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>3-70 and 12-14.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 11</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	(h) Oad	and Shows			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) . ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration.